

PROPOSITION 312**REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO PROPERTY TAX**

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of establishing the right to apply for a refund from a property owner's most recent property tax payment up to an amount that matches costs incurred by the property owner to mitigate the effects of a governing authority's repeated failure to enforce laws and ordinances prohibiting illegal camping, loitering, obstructing public thoroughfares, panhandling, public urination or defecation, public consumption of alcoholic beverages, and possession or use of illegal substances. If the documented costs exceed the amount of the most recent property tax bill, the property owner would be permitted to apply for a refund from their next property tax payment(s) to cover the balance of the initial claim. Property owners would be eligible annually for refunds until the taxing entity begins enforcing the relevant public nuisance laws.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current primary property tax payment laws and regulations.

YES ☐NO ☒**PROPOSITION 313****REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO CHILD SEX TRAFFICKING
SENTENCING**

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of eliminating the current sentencing ranges for a Class 2 child sex trafficking conviction. The sentence for a person convicted of a Class 2 felony for child sex trafficking would be imprisonment for natural life without the possibility of release.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current statutory sentencing ranges for those convicted of a Class 2 felony for child sex trafficking. The current sentencing ranges are between 7 years and natural life imprisonment without the possibility of release, depending on the age of the victim, the defendant's criminal history, and other factors.

YES ☒NO ☐**PROPOSITION 314****REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO RESPONSES TO HARMS AT THE
BORDER**

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of creating new crimes regarding the following conduct by any person not lawfully present in the United States: (1) applying for a public benefit by submitting a false document; (2) submitting false information to an employer regarding the person's authorization to work in the United States; (3) entering Arizona from a foreign country at any location other than a lawful port of entry; (4) refusing to comply with a court order to return to the person's country of origin or entry. Also creates a new crime of selling fentanyl that causes the death of another person. Requires state courts to issue an order to return to a foreign country if a person is convicted of the illegal entry crime. The order to return must include an authorization allowing state and local law enforcement to transport the person to a port of entry or into federal custody.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current criminal and procedural laws.

YES ☒NO ☐**PROPOSITION 315****REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO RULEMAKING**

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of requiring state agencies to submit any proposed rule that is estimated to increase regulatory costs by more than \$100,000 within five years after implementation to the Office of Economic Opportunity for review. If the Office of Economic Opportunity determines that the proposed rule is estimated to increase regulatory costs by more than \$500,000 within five years after implementation, the proposed rule shall not become effective unless the legislature enacts legislation ratifying the proposed rule. The Corporation Commission and emergency rules are exempt from this act.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws related to state agency rulemaking.

YES ☒NO ☐**COUNTY****PROPOSITION 479****RELATING TO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION EXCISE
(SALES) TAXES**

Do you favor the continuation of a county transaction privilege (sales) tax for regional transportation purposes in Maricopa County?

A "YES" vote has the effect of continuing the transaction privilege (sales) tax in Maricopa County for twenty years to provide funding for transportation projects as contained in the regional strategic transportation infrastructure investment plan.

A "NO" vote has the effect of rejecting the transaction privilege (sales) tax for transportation purposes in Maricopa County.

YES ☐NO ☒**PROPOSITION 486**

Shall the base expenditure limit of the Maricopa County Community College District established in fiscal year 1979-80 be permanently adjusted by \$52,841,755.

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of permanently adjusting the Maricopa County Community College District's base expenditure limit established in fiscal year 1979-80 by \$52,841,755.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of not permanently adjusting Maricopa County Community College District's base expenditure limit established in fiscal year 1979-80 by \$52,841,755.

BASE EXPENDITURE LIMIT ADJUSTMENT, YES ☐BASE EXPENDITURE LIMIT ADJUSTMENT, NO ☒